

7942-7946 / 41EP
14/07/20
54/0/Dict
9/10/2020

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH
(Estded. under the Panjab University Act VII of 1947-enacted
by the Government of India)

VICET/6173
14/10/2020

IMPORTANT: Please send the Report regarding the Action Taken on the following Para. on the enclosed proforma, which must reach Deputy Registrar (General) within **SEVEN DAYS** from the date of despatch. This may be treated as **MOST URGENT** as the Vice-Chancellor has taken a serious view on the non-availability of the Action Taken Report.

Copy/Extract of the Paragraph 3 from the minutes of the meeting of **SYNDICATE/SENATE** held on 13-07-2020

3. Considered following recommendation of the Committee dated 06.03.2020 (Appendix-), constituted by the DUI to discuss the representation of the students of UBT for improvement of Examinations in B.E. Courses session 2019-20 (Pass-out):

1. Improvement in B.E. Courses shall be allowed after completion of Degree only for those students, who obtained less than 6.00 CGPA.
2. A candidate shall be allowed to take two chances to appear as a private candidate for improvement within a period of 3 years. This

period shall be w.e.f. the admission of the candidate in B.E. First Year. The candidate shall have to choose subjects for his examination as per current scheme & syllabus prevailing at the time of filling of his examination form.

3. No improvement shall be allowed in Internal Assessment.
4. The examinations of Improvement of B.E. shall be conducted along with regular theory examinations of B.E.
5. The above decision shall be applicable prospectively w.e.f. the date of its implementation as ordered by the Syndicate and Senate of Panjab University, Chandigarh.

Explanatory Note: Improvement of performance by a candidate shall not affect the inter-se merit position determined on the basis of original examination.

Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-**) was also taken into consideration.

It was informed that the members may pay their kind attention to at page 14 of the Appendix, wherein they would find the queries as to "What was old Regulation and what was the change"? Thereafter, the Dean, Faculty of Engineering & Technology had written that the students are facing problem.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the assessment should not be allowed to be changed.

At this stage, Principal R.S. Jhanji staged a walkout saying that if they functioned like this, there is no need for him to stay here. If they wanted to continue like this, there is no need to invite them to the meetings of the Syndicate. Need to invite arises only when the decisions are taken collectively, whereas he (Vice Chancellor) is taking the decision(s) only after listening to one section. Last time also, they had said this and now also they are saying the same thing.

Shri Ashok Goyal requested Principal R.S. Jhanji to take the mike and say whatever he wished to.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that if they continued like this, there is no need to call meeting of the Syndicate and instead they invite the same panel whose suggestions/recommendations are accepted. With these remarks, he left the House.

Professor Keshav Malhotra drew the attention of the Hon'ble members towards page 20 of the Appendix, wherein only "his, his" has been mentioned. He suggested that the word "he" should also be inserted.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua pointed out that on page 16 of the Appendix, it has been written, "Assistant Registrar (General) on behalf of Deputy Registrar (General), Office Superintendent (DUI) on behalf of Convener and O.S. (College Branch) on behalf of Deputy Registrar (Colleges)". Is it allowed? Could anybody else attend the meeting on behalf of somebody else? Citing an example, he said that if he is a member of a Committee, could he send somebody else to attend the meeting on his behalf.

It was clarified that sometimes 2-3 meetings took place simultaneously.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that officials could attend on behalf of other officials.

It was again clarified that sometimes 2-3 meetings took place simultaneously and instead of none representation, it is better that somebody represents in the meeting.

RESOLVED: That following provisions (for improvement) be incorporated in the Regulations for Bachelor of Engineering Courses, under the Faculty of Engineering & Technology with the stipulation that words "she/her" be inserted wherever he/his existed:

1. Improvement in B.E. Courses shall be allowed after completion of Degree only for those students, who obtained less than 6.00 CGPA.
2. A candidate shall be allowed to take two chances to appear as a private candidate for improvement within a period of 8 years. This period shall be w.e.f. the admission of the candidate in B.E. 1st year. The candidate shall have to choose subjects for his/her examination as per current scheme & syllabus prevailing at the time of filling of his/her examination form.
3. No improvement shall be allowed in Internal Assessment.
4. The examinations of Improvement of B.E. shall be conducted along with regular theory examinations of B.E.
5. The above decision shall be applicable prospectively w.e.f. the date of its implementation as ordered by the Syndicate and Senate of Panjab University, Chandigarh..

Explanatory Note:

Improvement of performance by a candidate shall not affect the inter-se-merit position determined on the basis of original examination

No. S.T. 5896-97

Dated: 7/X/2020
9

Copy forwarded to the following for information and necessary action and to inform all concerned:

1. ^{Director} Chairperson, UIET (amfile)

2. A.P.C.